

The Cyanide Spill at Baia Mare, Romania BEFORE, DURING AND AFTER

This is a summary of the UNEP/OCHA *Report on the Cyanide Spill at Baia Mare, Romania*. The report followed a joint investigative mission to the affected areas and was carried out by a team of 20 scientists during the period February 23 to March 6, 2000. It included sampling analysis and discussion with local authorities and NGOs in the affected areas. The brochure is divided into three main sections: (1) background information; (2) an assessment of the spill; and (3) recommendations for the future. If you need more information about any of the issues covered in this brochure, please use the contacts provided on page 8. This brochure is also available in Romanian, Hungarian and Serbian.

#### FOREWORD BY THE BAIA MARE TASK FORCE

Our task force welcomes this valuable contribution in communicating and explaining the causes and impacts of the cyanide spill to the general public. Over the past months, the Baia Mare Task Force has visited the three countries primarily affected: Romania, Hungary and the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia. We have traveled along the length of the Tisza and discussed the impacts of the accidents with local people, local authorities, environmental and conservation groups, fishermen's associations and local businesses.

At this stage, we are encouraged by the signs of natural regeneration within the river ecosystem, as wildlife recovers along the river. Clearly, the floods experienced after the accident were helpful in flushing the pollution out of the river system. Fishing bans have been lifted in both Hungary and Romania and scientific assessments show fish, plants, animals and birds returning.

The Baia Mare Task Force has commissioned a number of studies to be conducted over the summer to provide a more definitive assessment of the current status of the recovery of the river. A joint water quality assessment programme will be undertaken by independent consultants from Hungary and Romania, and a review of ecologicial assessment studies will be made by WWF.

The Baia Mare Task Force will publish the results of these surveys to enable all of those concerned and interested to gain a clear and complete picture of the recovery of the Tisza river system.

Baia Mare Task Force, June 2000

#### Spread of the cyanide spill from Baia Mare, Romania



Sources: MTI, Ministry for Environmental Protection (Hungary) Environmental Inspectorate, UNEP.

#### Progress of the spill plume

- 1 30 January
  Cyanide spill occurs at
  Baia Mare, Romania
  - 2 1 February Spill plume reaches Romanian-Hungarian border
- **5 February**Cyanide registers in tests at Tiszalök
- 4 9 February Spill plume reaches Szolnok
- 5 11 February It crosses the Hungarian-Yugoslavian border
- 13 February
  The plume reaches Belgrade,
  (Perlez), Yugoslavia.
- 7 15 February
  It meets the Romanian border again, at Ram.
- 8 17 February
  Cyanide shows up in tests at Iron Gate, Romania.
- 9 **25-28 February**The plume reaches the Danube Delta.

## **Background**

#### THE ACCIDENT

On January 30 at 22:00, there was a break in a dam encircling a tailings pond at a facility operated by Aurul SA Company in Baia Mare, northwest Romania. The result was a spill of about 100,000 cubic meters of liquid and suspended waste containing about 50 to 100 tonnes of cyanide, as well as copper and other heavy metals.

The break was probably caused by a combination of design defects in the facilities set up by Aurul, unexpected operating conditions and bad weather.

The contaminated spill travelled into the rivers Sasar, Lapus, Somes, Tisza and Danube before reaching the Black Sea about four weeks later. Some 2,000 kilometres of the Danube's water catchment area were affected by the spill.

Romanian sources said that, in Romania, the spill caused interruptions to the water supply of 24 municipalities, and costs to sanitation plants and industries, because of interruptions in their production processes. Romania also reported that the amount of dead fish was very small in Romania. Hungary estimated the amount of dead fish in Hungary at 1,240 tonnes. Yugoslavian authorities reported large amounts of dead fish in the Yugoslavian branch of the Tisza River and no major fish kills in the Danube River.

#### THE MISSION

On February 18, 2000, Klaus Toepfer, Executive Director of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), announced that a team of international experts would carry out a mission to analyse the damages caused by the spill.

The announcement followed requests made by the governments of Romania, Hungary and Yugoslavia and consultations with the European Union's Environment Commissioner, Margot Wallström, and the UN Office for the Co-ordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA).

The mission, a joint venture of UNEP and OCHA, lasted from February 23 to March 6. It included sampling, analysis and discussions with national and local experts, national authorities, affected populations and NGOs. The team travelled from Bucharest to Baia Mare in Romania, then through Hungary along the river system down to Yugoslavia, to the mouth of the Danube River at the Black Sea.

#### BAIA MARE AND MARAMURES COUNTY

Maramures County, where the town of Baia Mare is located, lies at Romania's northwestern border with Ukraine and Hungary. It has a long history of mining, especially in gold, silver, lead, zinc, copper, manganese and salt. Waste at the county's seven key mining sites is stored in ponds and 215 waste ("tailings") dams.

The county has high levels of chronic ("persistently recurring") soil, water and air contamination that comes from many pollutants. These were released over decades of past industrial activities that used environmentally unsound technologies. This includes an old

lead smelter, copper smelter, sulphuric acid plant, and the operations of the mining company, Romanian Compania Nationala a Metalelor Pretiosasi si Neferoase (Remin), established in 1992.

Some Baia Mare residents live within 50 metres of highly toxic, chronically leaking, waste sites. The World Health Organization (WHO) identifies Baia Mare as a health risk hotspot, with the population's exposure to lead being among the highest ever recorded. Lead in the blood of some adults averages almost 2.5 times above safety levels. In some children, it averages nearly six times above safety levels. High lead levels in humans are now thought to be associated with impaired learning ability, mental retardation, problems with kidney and neurological functions, hearing loss, blood disorder, hypertension and death. Baia Mare residents have complained about dust from industrial processes for some time.

It is also important to know that the city of Baia Mare's population and urban development are growing, with expansion restricted in some areas by old contaminated tailings ponds.

#### THE COMPANY: AURUL SA

Aurul SA is a stock company jointly owned by Esmeralda, Exploration Limited, Australia, and Remin, Romania. Over a seven-year period, Aurul obtained all of the necessary environmental permits required under Romanian law for its plant in Baia Mare, before beginning operations in May 1999.

It was hoped that the Aurul project would meet the needs of both the Romanian authorities and the Australian investors. Aurul would gain profits through its mining operations and local authorities would benefit from Aurul's management and removal of Baia Mare's old contaminated ponds, which blocked further development in the city.

The process and technologies used at the Baia Mare plant for recovering precious metals were completely new to Romania and were expected to be the most modern, safe and efficient in the region and a major environmental improvement.

The Baia Mare plant was designed to process 2.5 million tonnes of tailings per year — to recover about 1.6 tonnes of gold and 9 tonnes of silver per year. The project was to last 10 to 12 years, although this may increase due to recent business deals made with Romanian companies.

The tailings, originating from earlier mining activities and stored next to Baia Mare, contain small amounts of precious metals, especially gold and silver. Aurul's process uses high concentrations of cyanide to remove the precious metals from the tailings. As part of the process, tailings are transported 6.5 kilometres away from Baia Mare to a new dam near Bozanta Mare village. The process was designed to release no waste to the surrounding environment.

Unfortunately, the mission could not determine how often the plant had been inspected by government authorities before the spill occurred. Soon after operations began in 1999, however, two leaks were reported in Aurul's pipeline system.

## FACTS ON DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES

#### Cyanide

Cyanide is acutely and almost instantaneously poisonous ("toxic") to living organisms, including humans. Cyanide harms by blocking the ingestion of oxygen by cells. Acute effects include rapid breathing, tremors, effects on the nervous system, and ultimately, death. Chronic effects include weight loss, effects on the thyroid and nerve damage.

Fish are about one thousand times more sensitive to cyanide than humans. If fish do not die from limited exposure, they can still have reduced swimming ability, problems in reproducing (possibly creating deformed babies), and increased vulnerability to predators. Fish are excellent in gauging the presence of cyanide in water — if fish are living after exposure, then no other form of life will have been harmed.

Cyanide, however, does not remain in the environment for long and does not accumulate in sediments or organisms (including humans).

#### Heavy metals

Heavy metals do not break down and are "bio-accumulative" in plants, animals and the environment. This means that the level of toxins builds up in an organism over time, increasing its toxicity and threat to local ecosystems. Toxins may also be passed on to other species if a toxic organism is eaten. Therefore, living organisms face high risks with long-term and chronic exposure to heavy metals.

Among the heavy metals used by mining industries, the most harmful to humans include arsenic, cadmium, lead, nickel, manganese and molybdenum, even at small doses. Zinc, lead, aluminum, boron, chromium and iron are also all toxic to plant growth.

The acute and chronic effects of copper to humans include stomach and intestinal distress, liver and kidney damage and anemia. Copper is also toxic to most aquatic plants, often contained in river sediments. Copper easily dissolves in water so it is more available for uptake by living things along rivers.

At relatively low levels, health effects from lead can include interference with red blood cell chemistry, delays in normal physical and mental development in babies and young children, slight deficits in the attention span, interference with hearing and learning abilities of children, and slight increases in the blood pressure of some adults. Changes in the levels of certain blood enzymes and in child development may even occur at very low blood lead levels. Chronic exposure to lead has been linked to brain and kidney disease and cancer in humans.

#### **Assessment**

#### **CAUSE OF ACCIDENT**

The breakage in the Aurul dam was partially caused by heavy rains and rapidly melting snows that made the water level in the pond rise. This rise was quicker than the rise of the dam which was intended to "grow gradually over time" as additional tailings accumulated.

The newly engineered dam system therefore failed under the circumstances, and this could have been foreseen. There were no plans to deal with such a rise in water or to catch overflow wastewater. A completely closed operation with no discharges to the environment was thus not possible under the conditions. Furthermore, the operation was actually open at two points, at the old and the new ponds, which allowed unmonitored amounts of cyanide to be regularly lost into the air and/or groundwater.

At the same time, Aurul was operating in line with government permits. Under Romanian law, the plant and ponds, categorised as "regular" risk, did not require any special emergency planning or monitoring to detect dangerous situations. Accident plans did exist but were not sufficient.

The mission therefore believed that both the company and local authorities had inadequate plans and responses in place for emergencies, considering the large quantities of hazardous materials being used close to human populations and the river system.

#### **GOVERNMENT RESPONSE**

In Romania, about ten hours were lost between the time the Baia Mare Environmental Protection Agency received notification of the spill from Aurul and the time the local Romanian Waters Authority was informed. As a result, local residents near the source of the spill were not informed as early as possible.

Once the Romanian Water Authority was informed, however, their regional environment and water authorities immediately checked information about the breach and the spill to determine the degree of pollution, and ordered Aurul to stop activities and close the breakage. They also informed the Water and Environmental Protection Agency of Nyiregyhaza (Hungary) about the accident, and alerted local authorities downstream about the spill and dangers in using the river water for activities such as drinking.

The Romanian Principal International Alert Center (PIAC) notified the Hungarian PIAC on January 31 at 20:54. It also informed Bulgaria, Moldova, Ukraine and Yugoslavia. According to international law, PIACs must be informed as soon as there is a sudden increase of hazardous substances in the Danube River Basin. The mission found that this early warning system responded adequately to the spill.

Hungarian authorities confirmed that they were continuously informed about the event and the degree of pollution by Romanian authorities. This allowed them to alert all regional and local authorities in a timely manner and to take the necessary measures to minimise the impact of the spill. Measures taken by the Hungarian side included warnings to the public, operations at dams and ponds to protect aquifers and side branches, the temporary closure of the Kiskore dam (along the upper Tisza) to increase the water level and temporary closure of the water intake from the Tisza River to the town of Szolnok. The dam was reopened when the contaminated water arrived, speeding up



its passage and preventing contamination of the lake itself and side streams.

On February 3, Yugoslavia received official information about the spill from Hungary. Cooperation with Hungary continued to be good during the spill. Yugoslavian monitoring of the spill began on February 10, as did requests by Yugoslavian authorities for water management companies along the Tisza to inform all water users

to stop the operation of water supply facilities. Hydraulic gates prevented the spill from affecting side branches and canals along the Danube River. An announcement on the prohibition of fishing and fish trading was made and preventive measures to protect public health included the closing of the Belgrade water intake.

The mission concluded that timely information exchange and measures taken by the Romanian, Hungarian and Yugoslavian authorities, including a temporary closure of the Tisza lake dam, reduced the impact of the spill.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT**

The assessment of the impacts of the spill on the environment is taken from three main sources: background reports by the affected countries; monitoring of impacts, by the affected countries, as the cyanide wave travelled downstream; and information collected by the UNEP/OCHA mission.

The methods used to analyse cyanide and heavy metals in each of the three countries produced comparable data according to international standards. Differences occurred between the measurements from Romanian and Hungarian scientists, but these may possibly be explained because of differences in locations and time intervals for sampling. Furthermore, the UN sampling took place about three weeks after the plume had passed and thus cannot validate any results measured in the plume by the Romanian, Hungarian or Yugoslavian experts.

#### Surface water

In general, the data shows that concentrations of cyanide and heavy metals decreased rapidly with increasing distance from the spill.

Regarding cyanide, acute effects occurred along long stretches of the river system down to where the Tisza and Danube rivers meet. Water plankton (plant and animal) were completely killed when the cyanide plume passed and fish were killed in the wave or immediately after. Soon after the plume passed, however, plankton and aquatic micro-organisms recovered relatively quickly (within a few days) due to unaffected water coming from upstream.

As a result, the mission concluded that mud-dwelling organisms in the lower Tisza and middle Tisza regions in Hungary and Yugoslavia were not completely destroyed by the cyanide spill beyond quick recovery. However, the situation in the upper Tisza (north of Tokaj, Hungary) is more complex.

Parts of the Tisza region had been damaged before the cyanide spill by years of chronic pollution (i.e. heavy metals) and dam building. Pollutant safety levels had also often been exceeded. The region has many poorly maintained and operated industrial plants and ponds containing cyanide and/or heavy metals, many of which are leaking continuously. Chronic pollution is also high from sewage and agriculture. Pollution of surface water, groundwater and soils is thus likely to re-occur.

For example, in Romania, UN tests of the Sasar River, also known as the "Dead River," showed cyanide concentrations at nearly 88 times Romanian permissible levels. Background information showed concentrations of arsenic and lead in the rivers Sasar, Lapus, Somes and Tisza, at 100 to 1,000 times, respectively, above acceptable concentrations. Cadmium levels in the Sasar and Lapus rivers were also very high.

In Hungary, concentrations of lead, copper, manganese and iron were found to be high at certain locations along the rivers Tisza and Maros. In the Maros River, which was not affected by the spill, the lead concentration was found to be more than 4 times above the acceptable level.

In Yugoslavia, above where the Tisza meets the Danube, lead levels were found to be high. Manganese and iron levels in certain parts of the Tisza were slightly high, as were levels of zinc in certain parts of the Danube.

In the Danube Delta before and after the wave, lead levels were above safety levels, as were cyanide levels during the passing of the wave. Concentrations of other heavy metals were acceptable.

#### **Sediments**

In comparison to surface water, the data shows a less negative impact on the ecosystem from sediment pollution.

The spill drastically increased the existing heavy metal contamination (especially copper, lead and zinc) of sediments in

## Chemical hotspot locations in areas affected by spill

| Chemical | WHO Guideline<br>1993   | EU Standard for<br>Drinking Water | Date of Testing | Location                       | Concentration<br>(µg/L) |
|----------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Arsenic  | 10 μg/L   | 10 μg/L                           | 1992            | Baia Mare                      | 400                     |
| Cadmium  | 3 μg/L  | 5 μg/L                            | 1992            | Baia Mare/Sasar River          | 20                      |
| Copper   | 2 μg/L  | 2 μg/L                            | 1992            | Busag/Lapus River              | 2200                    |
|          |   |                                   | During spill    | Cicarlau                       | 10,500                  |
|          |   |                                   | During spill    | Rom-Hung border                | 18,000                  |
|          |   |                                   | UN Mission      | Aurul pond                     | 412,300                 |
| Cyanide  | There are no WHO guidelines available<br>on acceptable standards of cyanide.<br>Hungarian standard: 100 μg/L<br>Romanian standard: 10 μg/L<br>River Rhine standard: 25 μg/L |                                   | During spill    | Near spill                     | 19,400                  |
|          |   |                                   | During spill    | Satu Mare/Somes River          | 7,800                   |
|          |   |                                   | During spill    | Csenger                        | 32,600                  |
|          |   |                                   | During spill    | Hun-Yug border                 | 1,500                   |
|          |   |                                   | UN Mission      | Aurul pond                     | 66,000-81,000           |
|          |   |                                   | UN Mission      | Private wells,<br>Bozanta Mare | 785                     |
|          |   |                                   | UN Mission      | Danube Delta                   | 58                      |
| Lead     | 10 μg/L   | 10 μg/L                           | 1992            | Cicarlau/Somes River           | 320                     |
|          |   |                                   | UN Mission      | Maros River                    | 22                      |

Source: Cyanide Spill at Baia Mare, Romania, UNEP, 2000. Note: UN Mission took place between 23 February and 6 March, 2000.

the immediate environment of the broken dam. However, heavy metal contamination then dropped rapidly with increased distance from the source. Therefore, the resulting toxic effects on the aquatic ecosystem may not have moved far downstream.

At the same time, many river areas downstream were found to have concentrations of heavy metals in their sediments, including some tributaries that were not even affected by the spill. This was especially true in the Baia Mare area but also further downstream in Hungary. These hotspots were probably caused by past industrial, sewage and agricultural activities over a long period of time. The result is that sediment quality is already at a stage where adverse toxic effects on the aquatic ecosystem may occur.

For example, concentrations of heavy metals in the river Lapus and at the site of the spill are very high. The concentrations for lead, zinc and cadmium upstream and downstream of Baia Mare are at a level where toxic effects in mud-dwelling organisms are likely to occur. Zinc and arsenic concentrations were high in the sediments of certain sections along the river Tisza.

#### **Drinking water**

In Romania, the village of Bozanta Mare near the Aurul plant has private wells that are shallow and connected with the river. They are thus highly vulnerable, especially to pollution from the Aurul pond which is in the water catchment area of the wells. The wells were affected by the spill with cyanide levels nearly 80 times over permissible limits on February 10. By February 26, cyanide concentrations fell below limits but the concentrations of cadmium, copper, manganese and iron were higher than admissible Romanian values. Also, the mission found ongoing pollution from human waste and an excessive use of agricultural fertilisers.

Further downstream from Bozanta Mare, along the river Somes, the drinking water does not appear to be at risk. However, most wells are also shallow and vulnerable to surface pollution. Consequently, in Romania, immediate human health risk seems to be minimal from the spill, although chronic health impacts due to long-term pollution by heavy metals are possible. Also, there is usually no water monitoring of private wells in Bozanta Mare, or groundwater monitoring downstream of Bozanta Mare, except in Satu Mare.

In Hungary, contaminated drinking water is not expected to be a long-term effect of the mining accident on consumers' health. Neither cyanide nor heavy metals were found in the water of Hungary's deep wells, which are well protected against surface pollution, with probably no connection between the river Tisza and deep groundwater. Hungarian public water supply systems were also not endangered by the cyanide pollution. The surface water treatment plant in Szolnok was stopped during the wave although treated water during the accident showed that the cyanide concentrations remained below the Hungarian standards. The Szolnok plant has a stringent monitoring program of the incoming water for the protection of its consumers. The mission could not, however, describe the situation for private wells along the Tisza River.

In Yugoslavia, the Becej public water supply system and probably two assessed private wells were not affected by the spill. The vulnerability of the deep wells is very low with probably no connection between the river Tisza and deep groundwater. These wells, however, are not normally monitored and other water companies and private wells along the Tisza River were not visited.

### **Recommendations**

The UNEP/OCHA mission was limited in time and scope. Its findings and recommendations are of a preliminary nature; they should be complemented by the more comprehensive assessment being carried out by the Baia Mare Task Force, established by EU Environment Commissioner Margot Wallström. That assessment, to be issued later in the year, will focus on the social and economic impacts of the cyanide spill and the risks involved in mining and related activities in Maramures County. The following recommendations from the UNEP/OCHA report are intended to mobilise and assist local governments and the people in the affected areas in their efforts to reduce the negative effects of the industries around them.

#### 1. Information

There is a great need for more objective and reliable information, especially from local authorities and the media. The spill and mission showed that the level of public knowledge of toxic chemicals, and future risks from mining and related industrial processes, is very low. At the same time, people in the Baia Mare area were well aware that soil and groundwater had been polluted before, and that pipes transporting tailings had broken on several occasions, spilling water containing cyanide outside of industrial areas.

#### 2. Communications

Communication between local authorities, NGOs and the public, concerning preparations for emergencies and damage prevention options, is poor. Communications channels should be improved and NGOs and other interest groups should help to inform the population.

#### 3. Health

The long-term effects of mining activities on public health, especially by cyanide and heavy metals, is a key concern, especially in Bozanta Mare and Baia Mare, as are dust problems in the summer.

#### 4. Assessments

At Aurul SA, a full risk assessment of operations should be done to make them safer. An emergency plan for the improved system should also be produced and made fully accessible to workers and local stakeholders. The organisational responsibilities off-site for dealing with a future dam breakage should be clear. And dependable early warning systems should be established, especially for Baia Mare.

#### 5. Sediment analysis

Further analysis of the heavy metals in river sediments is urged in all three countries (especially at Aurul SA), to make a reliable assessment of the long-term risks of the spill and chronic pollution. Sediment quality was already found threatening to many local aquatic ecosystems.

#### 6. Drinking water

Improvements should include surveys to plan and develop new water resources (Baia Mare and along Somes river) and new monitoring systems for groundwater and private wells by local authorities. An inventory of current private wells (Romania, Hungary, Yugoslavia) and an inventory of polluted areas that endanger groundwater, surface and drinking water (entire river basin) should be created. Emergency water supplies should be available to the region, a health survey of the population in affected areas should be drawn up and proper monitoring of diseases caused by water pollution should be established. Finally, the drinking water supply systems for private households in Maramures County should be changed to public collective systems along with required sewage treatment facilities.

#### 7. Biodiversity

Multinational monitoring of the long-term ecological effects of the spill on the region's biodiversity, especially birds, mammals and water vegetation, is urged.

#### 8. Regional industries

An inventory and risk assessment study of all mining and related industries in Baia Mare and the entire Maramures region, including abandoned sites, should be made. Dams containing toxic waste or other liquids should have retention systems for overflow or accidents resulting from breaks in dams. Plants using cyanide should pay special attention to preparing for emergencies, public communication and special monitoring and inspection by authorities.

#### 9. Local economies

The longer-term economic implications of the spill and other polluting activities in the region need to be assessed. Maramures County, rich in mining and related industries, is of key economic importance to Romania. But it can create environmental problems downstream in areas dependent on the environment for fishing, tourism, agriculture and other economic activities. Many workers and businesses in the area are concerned about a loss of markets, as the image of products from the region has greatly suffered as a result of the spill. (i.e. consumer fear of contaminated food).

#### 10. Regional plans

There is a strong need for a broad, longer-term environmental management and sustainable development strategy for both Maramures County and the entire water catchment area of the Tisza River. This should address mining and related industries, other economic activities, cross-border economic development, biodiversity, social needs and increased international co-operation and support.

#### 11. International objectives

Romania should sign the international *UN/ECE Convention on the Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents*. And there should be an international system for addressing the issues of liability and compensation related to such spills and their consequences. Moreover, there is a need for intensive and ongoing dialogue between members of the mining industry and governments to come to more secure and safe management practices in mining. This is especially so among those industries dealing with reservoirs containing hazardous and highly toxic substances such as cyanide.

#### **Contacts**

## United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)

Regional Office for Europe 15, Chemin des Anémones 1219 Chátelaine, Geneva Switzerland Tel: (41-22) 917-8111 Fax: (41-22) 917-8024 E-mail: roe@unep.ch Websites: www.unep.ch, www.natural-resources.

## Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA)

org/environment/Baiamare

United Nations
Palais des Nations
1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland
Tel: (41-22) 917-1142
Fax: (41-22) 917-0247
E-mail: ochagva@un.org
Website: www.reliefweb.int/
ocha ol/

#### The Regional Environmental Center for Central and Eastern Europe (REC)

Ady Endre út 9-11 Szentendre 2000, Hungary Tel: (36-26) 311-199 Fax: (36-26) 311-294 E-mail: info@rec.org Website: www.rec.org

## WWF International: Danube Carpathian Programme

Ottakringerstr. 114-116 A -1160 Vienna, Austria Tel: (43-1) 4881-7257 Fax. (43-1) 4881-7277 Email: dcp@wwf.at

# UNEP INFOTERRA NATIONAL FOCAL POINTS Institute of Environmental Management

Aga utca 4 1054 Budapest, Hungary Tel: (36-1) 332-9940, 332-2331

## Environmental Information and Documentation Office

14, Boulevard Libertatii Sector 5, C.P. 42-82 Bucharest, Romania Tel: (40-1) 410-7877 Fax: (40-1) 311-3308

## REC COUNTRY OFFICES **REC Hungary**

Ady Endre út 9-11 Szentendre 2000, Hungary Tel: (36-26) 300-594 Fax: (36-26) 302-137 E-mail: pepe@rec.org

#### **REC Romania**

Bd. I.C. Bratianu 44. bis, Bl. P7 Ap. 23, 2nd floor, Sector 3 Bucharest, Romania Tel: (40-1) 314-0433 Fax: (40-1) 315-3527 F-mail: rec@fx.ro

#### **REC Yugoslavia**

Karadjordjeva 43 11000 Belgrade, Yugoslavia Tel/Fax: (381-11) 620-633 E-mail: recyu@EUnet.yu

## STATE ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITIES

#### Hungarian Ministry of Environment, Information Centre

Fo utca 44-50 1011 Budapest, Hungary Tel: (36-1) 457-3437 Fax: (36-1) 201-2125

#### Water Resources Research Centre (VITUKI)

Kvassay Jeno ut 1 1095 Budapest, Hungary Tel: (36-1) 215-6140 (36-1) 216-1514 (For information relating to Hungary only)

#### Romanian Ministry of Water, Forestry and Environmental Protection (Public Relations Service)

12, Boulevard Libertatii Sector 5, Bucharest, Romania Tel: (40-1) 410-6394

#### Yugoslavian Federal Secretariat for Information

Bulevar Lenjina 2 11000 Belgrade, FR Yugoslavia Tel: (381-11) 311-4240 Fax: (381-11) 600-446

#### LOCAL NGOs

#### Association of Professional NGOs for Social Assistance in Baia Mare Unirii Bd. #28

4800 Baia Mare, Romania Tel: (40-94) 503-715 Fax: (40-62) 222-226 E-mail: assoc@mail.alphanet.ro

## **Ecological Society of Maramures**

Luptei 15 4800 Baia Mare, Romania Tel: (40-62) 411-544 Fax: (40-62) 417-198

#### **Danube Circle**

Vadász u. 29 1054 Budapest, Hungary Tel: (36-1) 332-3321, 353-0100

## Development Environmental Foundation (DEP)

Üllői út 66/B 1082 Budapest, Hungary

#### **Serbian Ecological Society** Takovska 43

11000 Belgrade, Yugoslavia Tel: (381-11) 767-988 Fax: (381-11) 769-903 E-mail: rlausevi@EUnet.yu

#### Tisza Social Club for Environment and Nature

Pf. 148, Szapáry út 19 5000 Szolnok Tel: (36-56) 375-497 Fax: (36-56) 375-497

#### **WWF Hungary**

Németvölgyi út 78/B 1124 Budapest, Hungary Tel: (36-1) 175-4970, 214-5554 Fax: (36-1) 175-4790

## AURAL SA (ESMERALDA EXPLORATION LTD.)

EXPLORATION LID.)
For the company's own
assessment of the accident
and outline of remedial safety
measures, please refer to
their online briefing
SC Aurul SA Report at
<www.esmeralda.com.au/
main6a3.html>.

This brochure was prepared with the generous support of the Royal Dutch Embassy. Published by The Regional Environmental Center for Central and Eastern Europe, June 2000. Editor: Paul Csagoly





